#### Case 2:02-cv-03450-BWK CNACVOVER SHEET 05/29/2002 Page 1 of 16

NOTE:

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the Untied States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

#### I (a) PLAINTIFFS

**9** 1 U.S. Government

**9** 2 U.S. Government

Defendant

JOSEPH and SHEILA REED, h/w

COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

GLOUSTER COUNTY, NJ

#### **DEFENDANTS**

HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, F/K/A ALLIED SIGNAL, INC., Successor in interest to Bendix Corporation

COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT MORRIS COUNTY, NJ

(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND

ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN) Rawle & Henderson LLP The Widener Building One South Penn Square

(215) 575-4200

Philadelphia, PA 19107

ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER)

Peter G. Angelos, Esaquire Law Offices of Peter G. Angelos, P.C. Wanamaker Building 100 Penn Square East Suite 1000, Tenth Floor Philadelphia, PA 19107 215-963-9333

#### II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) BOX

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES

(PLACE AN "X" IN ONE

5

9

6

Citizen of This State	PTF <b>9</b> 1	DEF <b>9</b> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place	PTF <b>9</b> 4	DEF <b>9</b>
					4
Citizen of Another State	<b>9</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>9</b> <sub>2</sub>	of Business in this State Incorporated and Principal Place	<b>9</b> 5	9

of Business in Another State

(For Diversity Cases Only) FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT)

**9**<sub>3</sub> **9**<sub>3</sub> Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Nation

Foreign Country

#### IV. **ORIGIN** (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

X 3 Federal Question

9 4 Diversity

(U.S. Government Not a Party)

(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

Appeal to District

**9**<sub>6</sub>

				Transferred from			Judge from	
<b>9</b> 1 Original	X 2 Removed from	<b>9</b> 3 Remanded from	9 4 Reinstated or	<b>9</b> 5 another district	<b>9</b> 6 Multidistrict	9	7	Magistrate
Proceeding	State Court	Appellate Court	Reopened	(specify)	Litigation		Judgment	

#### NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

	CONTRACT	TORTS		FORFE	TURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER S	STATUTES
9 110 9 120 9 130 9 140 9 150 9 151 9 152 9 153 9 160 9 190 9 195	Insurance Marine Marine Miller Act Negotiable Instrument Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment Medicare Act Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits Stockholders' Suits Other Contract Contract Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY 9 310 Airplane 9 315 Airplane Product Liability 9 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 9 330 Federal Employers' Liability 9 340 Marine 9 345 Marine Product Liability 9 350 Motor Vehicle 9 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 9 360 Other Personal Injury	9 365 Person Product P 368 Asbest Injury F Liabilit  PERSONAL PRO 9 370 Other F 9 370 Other F 9 380 Other P 19 380 Proper	nal Injury- lalpractice nal Injury- to Liability tos Personal Product Py 9 630 9 640 Py 9 650 9 660  PERTY	Agriculture Other Food&Drug Drug Related Seizure of Property 21, USC 881 Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck Airline Regs Occupational Safety/Health Other OR Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Mgmt. Relations	9 820 9 830 9 840	Appeal 28 USC 158 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PERTY RIGHTS  Copyrights Patent Trademark  IAL SECURITY  HIA (1395ff) Black Lung (923) DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) SSID Title XVI RIS (405(g))	9 400 9 410 9 430 9 450 9 460 9 470 9 810 9 850 9 875 9 891 9 892 9 893 9 894	State Reapportionment Antitrust Banks and Banking Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. Deportation Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Selective Service Securities/Commodities/ Exchange Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 Agricultural Acts Economic Stabilization Act Environmental Matters Energy Allocation Act
	REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER P	PETITIONS 9 730	Labor/Mgmt.			<b>9</b> 895	Freedom of
9 210 9 220 9 230 0668723	Land Condemnation Foreclosure Rent Lease & Ejectment 3.01	9 441 Voting 9 442 Employment 9 443 Housing/	Senten	is to Vacate nce 9 740 is Corpus	Reporting & Disclosure Act Railway Labor Act	9 870 9 871	ERAL TAX SUITS  Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)  IRS - Third Party	<b>9</b> 900	Information Act Appeal of Fee Determina- tion Under Equal Access to Justice

Case 2:02 ACX/m034150 - BY/4K Manual Cubinent 1 9 79 Filed Q5/29/2002 26 USC 260 E 2 019196 Constitutionality of State Statutes erry 9 440 Other Civil Rights 9 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. X 890 Other Statutory 9 245 Tort Product Liability 9 290 All Other Real Property **9** 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. X 890 Other Statutory Security Act Actions VI. **CAUSE OF ACTION** (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE. DO NOT CITE JURISDICTION STATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY.) 28 USC §1452(A) §1334(B) and Federal Bankruptcy Rule 9027 VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION **DEMAND \$** Check YES only if demanded in complaint: **COMPLAINT:** 9 UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 JURY DEMAND: 9 YES 9 NO VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY JUDGE N/A DOCKET NUMBER N/A (See Instructions): DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

\_\_\_\_\_ JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_

MAG. JUDGE

\_\_\_\_\_ APPLYING IFP\_\_

**9** 240 Torts to Land

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT #\_

AMOUNT\_

# UNITED STATES TO THE PROPERTY DOCUMENT 1 Filed 05/29/2002 Page 3 of 16

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

	f Plaintiff: Joseph and Sheila Reed, 1269 Dexter Ave., Deptford, NJ 08		D 1 4
	of Defendant: Honeywell International, f/k/a Allied Signal, Inc., Columb sey 07962	1a Road and	Park Avenue, Morristown,
	Accident, Incident or Transaction: <u>Asbestos Exposure in Pennsylvani</u> (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)	a and elsew	<u>here</u>
RELATE	s case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?  D CASE IF ANY	Yes X	No <b>G</b>
Case Nun Civil case	ber: N/A Judge N/A Date Terminates are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	ed: <u>N/A</u>	
	case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within previously terminated action in this court?	. У	Yes <b>G</b> No <b>G</b>
	this case involve the same issues of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a t pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?	. У	Yes <b>G</b> No <b>G</b>
	this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any umbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this		ves <b>G</b> No <b>G</b>
CIVIL: (	Place: in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)		
A. Fee 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. X	Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts Insura FELA Jones Act—Personal Injury Antitrust Patent Labor-Management Relations Civil Rights Habeas Corpus Securities Act(s) Cases Social Security Review Cases All other Federal Question Cases (please specify) 28 USC §1452, 1334(b); Bankruptc	urisdiction Ca. nce Contract a ne Personal Inj lt, Defamation e Personal Inju Vehicle Perso Personal Injur cts Liability cts Liability— her Diversity C	nd Other Contracts fury  ry nal Injury y (Please specify)  Asbestos Cases (Please specify)
	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category)		
I, Peter J	. Neeson, Esquire, counsel of record do hereby certify:		
X	Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 8, Section 4(a)(2), that, to the best of my knowledge an recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000 exclusive of interesting the sum of \$150,000 exclu		amages
G	Relief other than monetary damages is sought.		
DATE: _	Peter J. Neeson, Esquire 276 Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.I	D. #
тс	NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been comp		
action in	that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending of this court except as noted above.  27601	or within one	year previously terminated
CIV. 609(	Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.	D. #

0668723.01

## 

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA $-$ DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the categor	y of
the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.	•

Address	of Plaintiff: Joseph and Sheila Reed, 1269 Dexter Ave., Deptford, NJ 08096 of Defendant: Honeywell International, f/k/a Allied Signal, Inc., Columbia Road at	nd Park A	Avenue, Morristown			
New Jer Place of	New Jersey 07962 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:  Asbestos Exposure in Pennsylvania and elsewhere  (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)					
Does thi	Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?  Yes X No G  RELATED CASE IF ANY					
Case Nun Civil case	nber: N/A Judge N/A Date Terminated: N/A sa are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	:				
6.Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within 1. Yes <b>G</b> No <b>G</b> one year previously terminated action in this court?						
	this case involve the same issues of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a t pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?	Yes <b>G</b>	No <b>G</b>			
	this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any 5. numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this	Yes <b>G</b>	No <b>G</b>			
CIVIL: (	Place: in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)					
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts FELA Jones Act—Personal Injury Antitrust Patent Labor-Management Relations Civil Rights Habeas Corpus Securities Act(s) Cases All other Federal Question Cases (please specify) 28 USC §1452, 1334(b); Bankruptcy Rule 902	act and Oth Il Injury tion Injury ersonal Injury njury (Plea y y—Asbesto ity Cases	ary se specify) os			
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category)						
I, Peter J	. Neeson, Esquire, counsel of record do hereby certify:					
<ul> <li>Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 8, Section 4(a)(2), that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000 exclusive of interest and costs;</li> <li>Relief other than monetary damages is sought.</li> </ul>						
DATE: _	Peter J. Neeson, Esquire 27601	* D "				
	Attorney-at-Law Attorne		20			
т .:с	<b>NOTE:</b> A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with					
action in	that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within on this court except as noted above.	one year p	reviously terminated			
DATE: _	Attorney-at-Law 27601 Attorney	ID "				
CIV. 609	Attorney-at-Law Attorne (9/99)	ey I.D. #				

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

JOSEPH A. REED, I SHEILA REED, h/w	II and :
v.	: : Civil Action No. 02-3450
HONEYWELL INT f/k/a ALLIED SIGN in interest to BENDI et al.	ERNATIONAL, INC. : AL, INC., Successor : X CORPORATION, :
filing the complaint a reverse side of this for regarding said design court and serve on the	ne Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for ete a Case Manage Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the orm.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff nation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of e plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.
SELECT ONE OF T	HE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:
(a)	Habeas Corpus Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2441 through § 2255 ( )
(b)	Social Security Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. (
(c)	Arbitration Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 8.
(d)	Asbestos Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. (
(e)	Special Management Cases that do not fall into tracts (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases) (X)
(f)	Standard Management Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. ( )
(Date)	Attorney-at-law Attorneys for Defendant Honeywell International, Inc., f/k/a Allied Signal as successor in interest to Bendix Corporation

RAWLE & HENDERSON LLP

BY: PETER J. NEESON, ESQUIRE ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT,

STEWART R. SINGER, ESQUIRE HONEYWELL

INTERNATIONAL,

JOHN C. McMEEKIN II, ESQUIRE INC., F/K/A ALLIED SIGNAL,

INC..

ATTORNEY I.D. NO. 27601/62006/81250 SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO

THE WIDENER BUILDING ONE SOUTH PENN SQUARE PHILADELPHIA, PA 19107 (215) 575-4200

**R&H File No.: 516,202 (Angelos)** 

7

**BENDIX CORPORATION** 

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT [EASTERN DISTRICT]

JOSEPH A. REED, III and :

SHEILA REED, h/w :

:

v. :

•

HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC. : Civil Action No. 02-3450

f/k/a ALLIED SIGNAL, INC., Successor : in interest to BENDIX CORPORATION :

#### NOTICE OF REMOVAL PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C § 1452 AND FEDERAL RULE OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE 9027

TO: ALL PARTIES ON ANNEXED SERVICE LIST

Honeywell International, Inc., f/k/a Allied Signal, Inc., ("Honeywell"), as successor in interest to the Bendix Corporation ("Bendix"), by and through its undersigned counsel, Rawle & Henderson LLP, hereby gives notice of the removal to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania of the claims that have been asserted against Honeywell in the action captioned Joseph and Sheila Reed, h/w v. ACandS, Inc., et al, now pending in the Common Pleas Court of the State of Pennsylvania, County of Philadelphia, with April Court Term and Number 02-002776. This Notice of Removal is filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1452(a) and Rule 9027(a)(3) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, and as grounds for such removal Honeywell respectfully states as follows:

- 1. On October 1, 2001 (the "Petition Date"), Federal-Mogul Global, Inc. (collectively "Federal-Mogul") filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq. (the "Bankruptcy Code") in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, commencing bankruptcy case number 01-10578.
- 2. The above referenced state action, which is "related to" the removed claims, was commenced on April 18, 2002, by the filing of a Summons & Complaint in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County.
- 3. The removed claims are for personal injury or wrongful death asserted against Honeywell. Honeywell, formerly known as Allied Signal Inc., is the successor in interest to Allied Corporation which, in turn, was the successor in interest to The Bendix Corporation. The Automotive Sector of Allied Signal Inc. was the business unit within Allied Signal Inc. which continued the "Bendix" line of automotive friction products.
- 4. Federal-Mogul, or companies acquired by Federal Mogul Global, Inc., are named as co-defendants of Honeywell in this action.
- 5. The plaintiff(s) in the above referenced action have asserted that joint and several liability arises as to each named co-defendant in this action.
- 6. As a result, in the above referenced action Honeywell has either affirmatively asserted a cross-claim for indemnification and/or contribution against Federal-Mogul, or such a cross-claim against Federal-Mogul arises automatically by operation of law.
- 7. Conversely, Federal-Mogul has also either affirmatively asserted a cross-claim for indemnification and/or contribution against Honeywell, or such a cross-claim against Honeywell arises automatically by operation of law.
- 8. The above referenced claims for personal injury asserted against Honeywell, as well as the cross-claims asserted by Honeywell and the corresponding cross-claims asserted by Federal-Mogul, may be removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1452(a). Removal of these claims is proper because the removed claims are: asserted in a civil action; not exempt from removal; and this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the removed claims pursuant to

- 28 U.S.C. §1334 due to the fact that these cross-claims asserted by and against Honeywell are "related to" Federal-Mogul's bankruptcy proceeding. See In re Dow Corning Corp., 86 F.3d 482, 494 (6th Cir. 1996) (holding that Section 1334(b) "related to" subject matter jurisdiction exists over actions for indemnification and contribution claims asserted by non-debtor co-defendants against the debtor).
- 9. Removal to this Court is timely pursuant to Rule 9027 (a)(3) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure in that the claims in the civil action are "related to" the October 1, 2001, Federal-Mogul bankruptcy case, and this Notice has been filed within thirty days after: (1) receipt of the initial pleading setting forth the claim or cause of action sought to be removed, or (2) receipt of the summons, if the initial pleading has been filed with the court but not served with the summons.
- 10. Consent of the other named co-defendants is not necessary for removal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1452. See Creasy v. Coleman Furniture Corp., 763 F.2d 656, 660 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1985). Further, a cost bond is not required to accomplish this removal.
- 11. Upon removal, the proceedings with respect to the removed claims are non-core. Honeywell does not consent to entry of a final order or judgment by the bankruptcy judge to the extent the bankruptcy court is authorized to hear or determine such claims consistent with 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(5).
- 12. On December 17, 2001, Honeywell filed a Motion to Transfer, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157 (b)(5) (the "Transfer Motion"), with the United States District Court for the District of Delaware asking that Court to issue a provisional order to transfer the above referenced removed claims for a consolidated resolution of the threshold scientific question, by means of a Daubert hearing, whether the plaintiffs in the underlying actions can establish that automotive friction products containing encapsulated asbestos fibers can be the proximate cause of certain asbestos-related medical disorders. See 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(5) (1994); Daubert v. Merrill Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 509 U.S. 579 (1993); In re Dow Corning Corp., 86 F.3d at 496-97 (holding that 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(5) vests the power to fix venue over personal injury or wrongful

death actions pending against non-debtor co-defendants which are "related to" a debtor's bankruptcy proceeding, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334(b), with the district court where the bankruptcy case resides). See Exhibit "A."

- 13. On December 19, 2001 the Honorable Alfred M Wolin of the United States District Court for the District of Delaware issued an Order in favor of Honeywell that: (1) partially withdrew the reference; and (2) provisionally transferred the Friction Product claims to the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, (the "Provisional Order"), subject to further Orders of that Court. See Exhibit "B."
- 14. On January 4, 2002 the Honorable Alfred M. Wolin of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware issued an Order (the "Clarification Order"), that clarified the Provisional Order by extending the provisional transfer of Friction Product claims to include claims that would have been subject to the Provisional Order, but had not yet been removed on the date of the Provisional Order. See Exhibit "C."
- 15. On February 8, 2002 the Honorable Alfred M. Wolin of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware issued an Order (the "Remand Order"), granting plaintiffs' Motion for Remand. See Exhibit "D."
- 16. On February 11, 2002 the Honorable Anthony J. Scirica of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit issued an Order temporarily granting an Emergency Motion for Stay (the "Stay Order"), pending appeal of the Remand Order by appellants. See Exhibit "E."
- 17. On March 19, 2002, the Honorable Anthony J. Scirica of the United States District Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit issued an Order (the "Stay Clarification Order"), that clarified that the Stay Order issued on February 11, 2002 applies to all appellants and to all removed claims before the Delaware District Court. See Exhibit "F."
- 18. On March 25, 2002, Chief Judge Becker of the Third Circuit Court of Appeals issued an order establishing a briefing schedule and set June 17, 2002, as the date to hear oral argument on the appeal (the "Scheduling Order"). See Exhibit "G."

Case 2:02-cv-03450-BWK Document 1 Filed 05/29/2002 Page 10 of 16

19. A copy of the Scheduling Order also provides that "[t]he temporary stay entered

by this Court on February 11, 2002, as clarified by the Order of March 19, 2002, will remain in

effect until further order of the merits panel." See Exhibit "G."

20. Honeywell respectfully submits that the effect of the stay pending appeal is to

leave in place the previous transfer orders issued by the Delaware District Court. Because the

Remand Order has been stayed, the Delaware District Court's provisional transfer order is still

the operative order, and all claims removed by Honeywell continue to be provisionally

transferred automatically to Delaware.

21. Accordingly, pursuant to Judge Weiner's Order dated April 12, 2002 (the

"Abstention/Remand Order") "all pending motions for abstention and remand are denied without

prejudice . . . [t]he cases are administratively dismissed, subject to reinstatement following the

determination of the bankruptcy issyes by Judge Wolin and any subsequent appeals thereto." See

Exhibit "H."

22. A copy of this Notice of Removal and related documents have been served by

regular mail on counsel of record for all represented parties and upon all unrepresented parties to

the action pending in state court.

Respectfully submitted,

RAWLE & HENDERSON LLP

By:\_\_\_

Peter J. Neeson, Esquire

Stewart R. Singer, Esquire

John C. McMeekin II, Esquire

> Honeywell International, Inc., f/k/a Allied Signal as successor in interest to Bendix Corporation

Dated:

Case 2:02-cv-03450-BWK Document 1 Filed 05/29/2002 Page 12 of 16

### CERTIFICATION OF STATE COURT RECORDS, PLEADINGS AND PROCEEDINGS

I, Peter J. Neeson, Esquire; Stewart R. Singer, Esquire; John C. McMeekin, Esquire; of full age, certify that on this day, we attached to the Notice of Removal as Exhibit "I", a copy of all of the appropriate records, pleadings and proceedings in the State Court as requested by this Court.

Respectfully submitted,

#### RAWLE & HENDERSON LLP

By:\_\_\_\_

Peter J. Neeson, Esquire
Stewart R. Singer, Esquire
John C. McMeekin II, Esquire
Honeywell International, Inc., f/k/a Allied
Signal as successor in interest to
Bendix Corporation

Dated:

#### **CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE**

I, Peter J. Neeson, Esquire/Stewart R. Singer, Esquire/John C. McMeekin II, Esquire, of full age, hereby certify that on this day, I caused a true and correct copy of Defendant's Notice to Remove Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1452 of the United States Code and Rule 9027(a)(3) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure to be served on all counsel listed below as follows.

#### VIA HAND DELIVERY

Mark A. Kowit, Esquire LAW OFFICES OF PETER G. ANGELOS, P.C. The Wanamaker Building 100 Penn Square East Suite 1050, Tenth Floor Philadelphia, PA 19107 **Attorney for Plaintiffs** 

> Robert W. Rowan, Esquire Gollatz, Griffin & Ewing, P.C. Two Penn Center, 16th Floor 15th and JFK Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19102 Attorney for ACandS, Inc.

Steven B. Kantrowitz, Esquire Kantrowitz & Phillippi, LLC 1500 Centre Square West 1500 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19102 **Attorney for Abex Corporation** 

Edward J. Wilbraham, Esquire Wilbraham, Lawler & Buba Suite 3100, 1818 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Attorney for Amchem Products, Inc.

Daniel J. Ryan, Jr., Esquire Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin 1845 Walnut St. Philadelphia, PA 19103 Attorney for American Standard, Inc.

Karen S. Brehm, Esquire

Maron & Marvel, P.A. 1300 North Broom Street P.O. Box 288 Wilmington, DE 19806

#### Attorney for Atlantic Richfield Company, BP Exploration & Oil, Inc. and BP America, Inc.

Timothy B. Barnard, Esquire
Barnard, Mezzanotte & Pinnie
Williamson House
218 W. Front Street
P.O. Box 289
Media, PA 19063-0289

#### **Attorney for Borg Warner Corporation**

Joel D. Gusky, Esquire
Harvey, Pennington, Herting & Renneisen, Ltd.
1835 Market Street, 29th Floor
11 Penn Center Plaza
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Attorney for B.F. Goodrich

Theodore F. Haussman, Jr., Esquire
Schnader, Harrison...
1600 Market Street, Suite 3600
Philadelphia, PA 19103-7286
Attorney for Chevron U.S.A., d/b/a Chevron Products Company

Norman L. Haase, Esquire Swartz, Campbell & Detweiler 115 North Jackson Street Media, PA 19063

#### Attorney for Brand Insulations, Inc., Cleaver-Brooks, Inc. and H.B. Smith Company, Inc.

Alan Klein, Esquire
Hangley, Aronchick, Segal & Pudlin
One Logan Square
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Attorney for Clayton, Dubilier & Rice

Christine O. Boyd, Esquire Lavin, Coleman, O'Neil, Ricci, Finarelli & Gray Penn Mutual Tower 510 Walnut Street, 12th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19106

**Attorney for Daimler Chrysler Corporation and General Motors Corporation** 

Mark Lipowicz, Esquire Duane Morris LLP 4200 One Liberty Place Philadelphia, PA 19103 **Attorney for Ford Motor Company** 

Tracey M. McDevitt, Esquire
Reilly, Janiczek & McDevitt, P.C.
The Widener Building, Suite 520
One South Penn Square
Philadelphia, PA 19107

**Attorney for Foster-Wheeler Corporation** 

E. Michael Keating, III, Esquire
Hollstein, Keating, Cattell, Johnson & Goldstein
Suite 1602
1608 Walnut Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Attorney for General Electric

C. James Zeszutek, Esquire Thorp, Reed & Armstrong One Oxford Centre, 14th Floor 301 Grant Street Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1425 **Attorney for Pfizer, Inc.** 

Richard C. Polley, Esquire Dickie, McCamey & Chilcote Two PPG Place, Suite 400 Pittsburgh, PA 15222-5402 **Attorney for Rapid American** 

Bruce S. Haines, Esquire
Hangley, Aronchick, Segal & Pudlin
One Logan Square
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Attorney for Uniroyal, Inc.

John McShea, Esquire McShea Tecce 1735 Market Street 26th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 **Attorney for Viacom, Inc.** 

Joseph Cagnoli, Jr., Esquire Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin 1845 Walnut Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-4797 **Attorney for Weil-McLain** 

**No Counsel Listed for:** 

Four Star Oil & Gas Co. Texaco, Inc. TRMI Holdings, Inc.

#### RAWLE & HENDERSON LLP

By:\_\_\_

Peter J. Neeson, Esquire
Stewart R. Singer, Esquire
John C. McMeekin II, Esquire
Honeywell International, Inc., f/k/a Allied
Signal as successor in interest to
Bendix Corporation

Dated: